

**BEFORE THE MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE  
APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**

**AT006000000011067**

M/s Maan Housing Development ... Appellant

Versus

Kanchan U. Chahuhan ... Respondent

(Mr. Harsh Gala i/b Dishang Shah, Advocate for Appellant)

(Mrs. Pooja Gaikwad, Advocate for Respondent)

**CORAM : SUMANT M. KOLHE,  
MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 09<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2019**

**ORDER UNDER PROVISIO OF SEC.43 SUB SEC.5 OF  
RERA ACT, 2016**

Heard Learned Counsel for Appellant. Appeal is filed by Promoter. Appeal cannot be entertained and heard unless the compliance of proviso of Sec.43 Sub Sec.5 of RERA, 2016 by depositing amount as per impugned order is made. Considering the nature of order and total amount of interest as per impugned order, I think it is just and proper to direct Appellant to deposit 40% of interest amount as per impugned order on 11.10.2019.

Learned Counsel for Respondent undertakes to file vakalatnama and reply in due course of the day.  
Stand over to 11.10.2019 for compliance.

09-09-2019

  
(SUMANT M. KOLHE)  
MEMBER (J)